

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:  
GEORGE R. REPPER  
1425 K STREET NW  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

# PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

|   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference<br><b>3067-103.PCT</b>  |   | Date of mailing<br>(day/month/year) <b>12 OCT 2006</b> |
| International application No.<br><b>PCT/US05/01254</b>  |   | FOR FURTHER ACTION<br>See paragraph 2 below            |
| International filing date (day/month/year)<br><b>14 January 2005 (14.01.2005)</b>   | Priority date (day/month/year)<br><b>16 January 2004 (16.01.2004)</b> |  |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC<br><b>IPC: F25J 3/00( 2006.01)</b><br><b>USPC: 62/620,630</b> |   |  |
| Applicant<br><b>AKER KVAERNIER, INC.</b>  |   |  |

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I      Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II      Priority
- ☐ Box No. III      Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV      Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V      Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI      Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII      Certain defects in the international application
- ☒ Box No. VIII      Certain observations on the international application

## 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US<br>Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US<br>Commissioner for Patents<br>P.O. Box 1450<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450<br>Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 | Date of completion of this opinion<br><b>21 August 2006 (21.08.2006)</b> | Authorized officer<br>Cheryl Tyler <i>A. Huley</i><br>Telephone No. (571) 272-3750 |
|--|--|--|

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US05/01254

**Box No. I Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
- ☐ a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
- ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper
- ☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US05/01254

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

**1. Statement**

|                               |                    |            |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Novelty (N)                   | Claims <u>1-25</u> | <u>YES</u> |
|                               | Claims <u>NONE</u> | <u>NO</u>  |
| Inventive step (IS)           | Claims <u>1-25</u> | <u>YES</u> |
|                               | Claims <u>NONE</u> | <u>NO</u>  |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims <u>1-25</u> | <u>YES</u> |
|                               | Claims <u>NONE</u> | <u>NO</u>  |

**2. Citations and explanations:**

Claims 1-25 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a of recovery process that mixes a portion of a heated rich liquefied natural gas stream with a portion of a condensed overhead product stream to produce an output stream.

Claims 1-25 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US05/01254

**Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application**

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The drawings are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 7 because: the mixer (160), which is disclosed in the Specification (paragraphs 0021, 0030), is not shown in Figure 2.